UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

FABIAN VAKSMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL W. WYNNE,

Defendant.

No. CV-07-225-FVS

FIRST ORDER DIRECTING
PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED
COMPLAINT

THIS MATTER having come before the Court for screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Now, therefore

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The plaintiff's motion for appointment of a publicly-funded attorney (Ct. Rec. 5) is denied because he has failed to demonstrate the existence of exceptional circumstances. See Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335 (9th Cir.1990).
- 2. The plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Ct. Rec. 11) is denied as premature. He may renew the motion if, and when, the Court directs service of a complaint upon the defendant.
- 3. By noon on September 10, 2007, the plaintiff shall file an amended complaint that complies with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The complaint must be legible. Not only must it contain "a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends," Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(1), but also it must contain

"a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(2), and "a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks." Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(3). See Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. ----, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007).

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this order and furnish a copy to the plaintiff.

DATED this 9th day of August, 2007.

s/ Fred Van Sickle
Fred Van Sickle
United States District Judge